arXiv:1408.5528v1 [math.GT] 23 Aug 2014

THE E_8-BOUNDINGS OF HOMOLOGY SPHERES AND NEGATIVE SPHERE CLASSES IN $E(1)$.

MOTOO TANGE

ABSTRACT. We define invariants $\mathfrak{d}_8$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{d}_8}$, which are the maximal and minimal second Betti number divided by 8 among definite spin boundings of a homology sphere. The similar invariants g_8 and $\overline{g_8}$ are defined by the maximal (or minimal) product sum of E_8-form of bounding 4-manifolds. We compute these invariants for some homology spheres. We construct E_8-boundings for some of Brieskorn 3-spheres $\Sigma(2,3,12n+5)$ by handle decomposition. As a by-product of the construction, some negative classes which consist of addition of several fiber classes plus one sectional class in $E(1)$ are represented by spheres.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The spin and negative definite bounding.** It is well-known that any 3-manifold Y is the boundary of a spin 4-manifold X. Furthermore, if we set some conditions of the intersection form of X, it becomes unclear whether there exists the bounding with those conditions. The Rokhlin theorem says that homology sphere Y with the Rokhlin invariant $\mu(Y)=1$ cannot bound any spin 4-manifold with $\sigma(X)\equiv 0 \bmod 16$.

Let X be a spin bounding of a homology sphere Y. We can construct a new spin bounding increasing the one positive and negative eigenvalues of the intersection form by taking connected-sum $X\#S^2\times S^2$. In this paper we focus on the construction of spin boundings without positive or negative eigenvalues, i.e., $b_2(X)=|\sigma(X)|$. Such boundings of homology spheres are called *negative- (or positive-) definite boundings*.

Ozsváth and Szabó in [15] defined the integer-valued homology cobordism invariant $d(Y)$ for any homology sphere. It is called *the correction term* or *d-invariant*. By using this homology cobordism invariant they obtained the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([15])**.** *Let Y be an integral homology 3-sphere. Then any negative-definite bounding X of Y satisfies the inequality*

$$\xi^2 + rk(H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})) \leq 4d(Y) \tag{1}$$

Date: August 26, 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R55, 57R65.

Key words and phrases. Definite spin 4-manifold, Brieskorn homology 3-sphere, minimal genus surface, E_8-plumbing.

The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24840006.

for each characteristic vector $\xi \in H^2(X)$.

Hence, the non-negativity of $d(Y)$ is a necessary condition to have a negative-definite bounding.

Furthermore, if Y has a spin negative-definite bounding X, then the inequality (1) implies

$$b_2(X) \leq 4d(Y). \tag{2}$$

Hence, the condition $d(Y) \geq 0$ is necessary condition for the integer homology 3-sphere to have a negative-definite bounding

We introduce another condition for spin negative-definite bounding. Let $\overline{\mu}$ be the Neumann-Siebenmann invariant defined in [13]. In [16], Ue shows the following:

Theorem 1.2 ([16])**.** *Suppose that a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere Y with spin structure c bounds a negative-definite 4-manifold X with spin structure c_X. Then*

$$b_2(X) \equiv -8\bar{\mu}(Y,c) \mod 16,$$
$$-\frac{8\bar{\mu}(Y,c)}{9} \leq b_2(X) \leq -8\bar{\mu}(Y,c).$$

Hence, $\overline{\mu}(Y,c) \leq 0$ is necessary condition for a Seifert spin rational homology 3-sphere to have a spin negative-definite bounding. On the other hands, the inequality does not guarantee the existence of such bounding X.

A topological space X is said to be *homologically 1-connected*, if it is connected and $H_1(X) = \{0\}$. The bounding genus $|\cdot|$ is defined as follows: Thus, we define the following invariants.

Definition 1.1. *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere. If Y has a definite spin bounding, then we define $\epsilon(Y)$ as follows:*

$$\epsilon(Y) = \begin{cases} 1 & Y \text{ has a positive-definite spin bounding with } b_2(X) > 0 \\ -1 & Y \text{has a negative-definite spin bounding with } b_2(X) > 0 \\ 0 & Y \text{ has a bounding with } b_2(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

If Y does not have any definite spin bounding, then we define $\epsilon(Y) = \infty$. Here, the boundings are assumed all homologically 1-connected.

The invariant ϵ is well-defined. In fact, if a homology 3-sphere Y has two boundings X_1, X_2 for two among $\{1, -1, 0\}$, then $X = X_1 \cup (-X_2)$ is a definite spin closed 4-manifold with $b_2(X) > 0$. Donaldson's diagonalization theorem in [3] does not allow the existence of that X.

Definition 1.2. *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere. We define invariants $\mathfrak{ds}, \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}$ on homology 3-spheres as follows:*

$$\epsilon(Y) = \infty \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{ds}(Y) = \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) = \infty.$$

and otherwise,

$$\mathfrak{ds}(Y) = \max\left\{ \frac{b_2(X)}{8} | \partial X = Y,\ b_2(X) = |\sigma(X)|,\ w_2(X) = 0 \right\}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) = \min\left\{ \frac{b_2(X)}{8} | \partial X = Y,\ b_2(X) = |\sigma(X)|, w_2(X) = 0 \right\}.$$

We assume the spin boundings are all homologically 1-connected.

The rank of unimodular definte quadratic form with even type is divisible by 8. Even type means that the square for any element is even. Thus, the values of these invariants are in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. By the defintion $0 \leq \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) \leq \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ holds. We do not know whether there exists a homology 3-sphere with $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) \neq \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$.

It is known that the difference is bounded in the following sense:

Proposition 1.1. *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere with finite $\epsilon(Y)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) < \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$. Then $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) - \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) \leq 8(\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) + 1)$ holds.*

Proof. Let X_1, X_2 be two negative-definite spin boundings with $b_2(X_i) = \beta_i$ and $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$. Then the invariants of the capped closed spin manifold $X = X_2 \cup (-X_1)$ are $b_2(X) = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $\sigma(X) = \beta_2 - \beta_1$. From Furuta's inequality in [4], we have $\beta_2 \leq 9\beta_1 + 8$.

$$\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) < \mathfrak{ds}(Y) \leq 9\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) + 8$$

Consequently, $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) - \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) \leq 8(\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) + 1)$ holds. □

On the other hands $\mathfrak{ds}$ can be taken arbitrarily large. The examples below will be computed later.

For positive integer n, the Brieskorn homology 3-spheres

$$\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n-3),\ \Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n-1)$$

$$\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n^2-4n+1),\ \Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n^2-1)$$

have $\mathfrak{ds} = n$. This will be proven later.

Suppose that a homology 3-sphere Y has a bounding X satisfying

$$\partial X = Y,\ Q_X = nE_8,$$

where n is a negative integer, then nE_8 is a direct product of $(-n)$-copies of the negative-definite quadratic form with E_8-type. Then, we call the spin bounding X *E_8-bounding*. If the bounding is positive-definite, we call the bounding *positive E_8-bounding*, and if the bounding is negative-definite, the bounding *negative E_8-bounding*.

Definition 1.3 (E_8-genera)**.** *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere with finite $\epsilon(Y)$. If Y has an E_8-bounding, then we define the E_8-genera as follows:*

$$g_8(Y) = \max\{|n| | Y = \partial X \text{ and }, w_2(X) = 0, Q_X = nE_8\}$$

$$\overline{g_8}(Y) = \min\{|n| | Y = \partial X \text{ and }, w_2(X) = 0, Q_X = nE_8\},$$

If Y does not have any E_8-bounding, then we define $g_8(Y)$ to be

$$g_8(Y) = +\infty.$$

When a homology 3-sphere Y has finite $\epsilon(Y)$, it is not known whether Y has an E_8-bounding or not.

We introduce other related invariants.

Definition 1.4. *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere. Then the bounding genus $|Y|$ of Y is defined to be*

$$|Y| := \begin{cases} \min\{n|\partial X = Y, Q_X = nH\} & \mu(Y) = 0, \\ \infty & \mu(Y) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where the bounding 4-manifold X is restricted to homologically 1-connected 4-manifold.

This invariant is considered as an h-cobordism invariant

$$|\cdot| : \Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}.$$

The ξ-invariant in [10] is defined as:

$\xi(Y) = \max\{p-q|p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q > 0, p(-E_8)\oplus qH = Q_X \text{ and } w_2(X) = 0, \partial X = Y\}$.

Bohr and Lee's m in [2] and $\overline{m}$ are defined as:

$$m(Y) = \max\left\{\frac{5}{4}\sigma(X) - b_2(X)|p, q, \in \mathbb{Z}, \partial X = Y, \text{ and } w_2(X) = 0\right\}$$

$$\overline{m}(Y) = \min\left\{\frac{5}{4}\sigma(X) - b_2(X)|p, q, \in \mathbb{Z}, \partial X = Y, \text{ and } w_2(X) = 0\right\}$$

Here, the relationship between m and ξ are as follows:

$$m(-Y)/2 = \max\left\{\frac{b_2(N)}{8} - q|q \in \mathbb{Z}, \partial X = Y, Q_X \cong N \oplus qH, w_2(X) = 0 \right.$$
$$\left. \text{and } N : \text{even negative-definite form}\right\}.$$

Thus we have

$$m(-Y)/2 \leq \xi(Y) + 1,$$

as seen in [10]. Here the form H is the quadratic form represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We state the $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture by Y. Matsumoto and an equivalent conjecture in terms of $\mathfrak{ds}$ and bounding genus.

Conjecture 1.1 (Y. Matsumoto ($\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture))**.** *If X is a closed oriented smooth 4-manifold and Q_X is equivalent to $2k(-E_8) \oplus lH$, then $l \geq 3|k|$ holds.*

Conjecture 1.2. *Suppose that Y is a homology 3-sphere with $\mu(Y) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$. Then the following is satisfied:*

$$|Y| \geq \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{ds}(Y).$$

Proposition 1.2. *Conjecture 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose that $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture holds. Let Y be a homology 3-sphere with $\mu(Y) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$. Then there exist two bounding 4-manifolds X_1, X_2 satisfying $\partial X_1 = Y$ and $\partial X_2 = -Y$, where X_1 is a definite spin 4-manifold and $Q_{X_2} \cong nH$. Gluing X_1 and X_2 along Y we get a closed

4-manifold with $Q_{X_1\cup X_2} \cong mE_8 \oplus nH$. Thus, $n \geq \frac{3|m|}{2}$ holds. In particular, we may assume $n = |Y|$ and $m = \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$.

Conversely, suppose that Conjecture 1.2 holds. Let X be a closed 4-manifold with $Q_X = 2k(-E_8) \oplus lH$. Then there exists a homology 3-sphere Y cutting the intersection form, i.e., $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$ and $Q_{X_1} \cong 2k(-E_8)$ and $Q_{X_2} \cong lH$ and $\partial X_2 = Y$. Thus Y satisfies $\mu(Y) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$. Hence, $l \geq |Y| \geq \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{ds}(Y) \geq \frac{3}{2}|2k| = 3|k|$. This implies $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture. □

1.2. **Examples of negative-definite spin boundings.** The aim of this paper is to find negative-definite spin bounding or E_8-bounding for some types of Brieskorn homology 3-spheres $\Sigma(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$. In this section we list the several results below which are proven later. The $\mathfrak{ds}$-invariant of all the examples are $0 \leq \mathfrak{ds} < \infty$.

By the Milnor-fiber construction, we get the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For any integer n, we set $M_n = \Sigma(2,3,6n-1)\#(-\Sigma(2,3,6n-5))$ then $\epsilon(M_n) = -1$ and $g_8(M_n) = 1$.*

The minimal resolution of Brieskorn singularities gives definite boundings for the homology 3-spheres. We will classify all the minimal resolutions of Brieskorn singularities with boundings with $g_8 = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$.

Theorem 1.4. *If the minimal resolution of Brieskorn singularity gives a bounding with $g_8 = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then the homology 3-sphere is one of $\Sigma(2,3,5)$, $\Sigma(3,4,7)$, $\Sigma(2,3,7,11)$, $\Sigma(2,3,7,23)$ or $\Sigma(3,4,7,43)$.*

We give some examples of minimal resolution of the Brieskorn singularity with large $\mathfrak{ds}$:

Theorem 1.5. *For any integer n, we have*

$$\mathfrak{ds}(\Sigma(4n-2,4n-1,8n-3)) = \mathfrak{ds}(\Sigma(4n-1,4n,8n-1)) = n$$

$$\mathfrak{ds}(\Sigma(4n-2,4n-1,8n^2-4n+1)) = \mathfrak{ds}(\Sigma(4n-1,4n,8n^2-1)) = n.$$

In the last section we will post a question related to $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture and the bounding genus.

Even if the minimal resolution of a Brieskorn singularity does not give a spin 4-manifold, in some cases the additional blow-downs of the 4-manifold can give a spin manifold.

Let (G, a, b, c) be a 1-cycled weighted graph G in the left of Figure 9. The labels on two edges on G are given by 3 integers labeled by a, b with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ as drawn in the figure and the other (unlabeled) edges are labeled by 1. The weight on the vertex intersected by the two edges with a and b is $-2c$ and the other (unweighted) vertices are weighted by -2. Such a graph can give a smooth 4-manifold with a boundary. The handle diagram of the manifold is drawn in Figure 9. The component weighted by $-2c$ is the (a, b)-torus knot.

Theorem 1.6. *The quadruple $(G; a, b, c)$ in Table 1 with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ gives a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere Σ with $g_8(\Sigma) = 1$ and $\epsilon(\Sigma) = -1$.*

G	a	b	c
(1)	$3k-2\ell\pm 2$	$-2k+3\ell\mp 2$	$3k^2-4k\ell+3\ell^2\pm 2(2k-2\ell)+2$
(2)	$4k-\ell\pm 2$	$-3k+2\ell\mp 2$	$6k^2-3k\ell+\ell^2\pm 2(3k-\ell)+2$
(3)	$4k-3\ell\pm 2$	$-3k+4\ell\mp 2$	$6k^2-9k\ell+6\ell^2\pm 2(3k-3\ell)+2$
(4)	$5k-2\ell\pm 2$	$-4k+3\ell\mp 2$	$10k^2-8k\ell+3\ell^2\pm 2(4k-2\ell)+2$
(5)	$6k-\ell\pm 2$	$-5k+2\ell\mp 2$	$15k^2-5k\ell+\ell^2\pm 2(5k-\ell)+2$
(6)	$12k-4\ell\pm 3$	$-10k+6\ell\mp 3$	$60k^2-40k\ell+12\ell^2\pm 6(5k-2\ell)+4$
(6)	$12k-4\ell\pm 5$	$-10k+6\ell\mp 5$	$60k^2-40k\ell+12\ell^2\pm 10(5k-2\ell)+11$
(6)	$12k-4\ell\pm 1$	$-10k+6\ell$	$60k^2-40k\ell+12\ell^2\pm 10k+1$
(6)	$12k-4\ell\pm 3$	$-10k+6\ell\mp 2$	$60k^2-40k\ell+12\ell^2\pm 2(15k-4\ell)+4$
(7)	$14k-2\ell\pm 3$	$-12k+4\ell\mp 3$	$84k^2-24k\ell+4\ell^2\pm 6(6k-\ell)+4$
(7)	$14k-2\ell\pm 5$	$-12k+4\ell\mp 5$	$84k^2-24k\ell+4\ell^2\pm 10(6k-\ell)+11$
(7)	$14k-2\ell\pm 2$	$-12k+4\ell\mp 1$	$84k^2-24k\ell+4\ell^2\pm 12(2k-\ell)+2$
(7)	$14k-2\ell\pm 4$	$-12k+4\ell\mp 3$	$84k^2-24k\ell+4\ell^2\pm 6(8k-\ell)+7$

TABLE 1. The negative-definite E_8-boundings for $(G;a,b,c)$ in FIGURE 1

p	q	r
$10i+7$	$15i+8$	$120i^2+148i+45$
$10i+3$	$15i+2$	$120i^2+52i+5$
$20i-8$	$30i-17$	$480i^2-464i+109$
$20i+8$	$30i+7$	$480i^2+304i+45$
$30i-13$	$45i-27$	$1080i^2-1116i+281$
$30i-7$	$45i-18$	$1080i^2-684i+101$
$30i+7$	$45i+3$	$1080i^2+324i+17$
$30i+13$	$45i+12$	$1080i^2+756i+125$
$20i+2$	$30i-7$	$480i^2-64i-11$
$20i-2$	$30i-23$	$480i^2-256i+21$
$10i+7$	$15i-2$	$120i^2+68i-365$
$10i+13$	$15i+7$	$120i^2+212i+73$
$60i-28$	$90i-57$	$4320i^2-4752i+1277$
$60i-8$	$90i-27$	$4320i^2-1872i+173$
$60i+8$	$90i-3$	$4320i^2+432i-19$
$60i+28$	$90i+27$	$4320i^2+3312i+605$

TABLE 2. Brieskorn homology 3-spheres from the blow-downs of the minimal resolution of negative-definite plumbings.

In the case of $((1);1,b,c)$*, for some non-negative integer* m *the homology 3-spheres* $\Sigma(p,q,r)$ *with the pairs* p,q,r *in Table 2 have boundings with* $g_8=1$ *and* $\epsilon=-1$.

Hence, any Brieskorn 3-sphere above satisfies $g_8(\Sigma)=1$.



FIGURE 1. The 7 possible configurations with $-E_8$-intersection form. All the unweighted components are -2 and all the labels with unlabeled is $+1$.

These E_8-boundings are constructed by blow-downs of minimal, negative-definite resolutions of Brieskorn singularities.

1.3. **Other examples.** Let Y_n^- denote $\Sigma(2,3,6n-1)$. Then the Neumann-Siebenmann invariant $\bar{\mu}$ is computed as follows:

$$\bar{\mu}(Y_n^-) = \begin{cases} -1 & n \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \\ 0 & n \equiv 0 \bmod 2. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

As a corollary, if $\mathfrak{ds}(Y_n^-) < \infty$, then $g_8(Y_{2k+1}^-) = 1$ and $g_8(Y_{2k}^-) = 0$ hold. In this paper we show the existence of negative-definte spin boundings of Y_{2k+1}^- for some of k.

Theorem 1.7. *For $0 \leq k \leq 12$ or $k = 14$, we have $\mathfrak{ds}(Y_{2k+1}^-) < \infty$. In particular, for these integers k we have $g_8(Y_{2k+1}^-) = 1$.*

The boundings cannot be obtained by the minimal resolution or blow-downs of minimal resolutions. Actually, these boundings can be embedded in $E(1)$ and the complements are Gompf's nuclei N_{2k+1}.

1.4. **Embedded spheres in $E(1)$.** Let $E(1)$ be an elliptic fibration diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2\#9\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. According to Li and Li's result in [6] the spherical realization of the following classes in $E(1)$ are studied:

Theorem 1.8 (Li-Li [6])**.** *In $H_*(\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$ with $1 \leq n \leq 9$ all classes with $0 > \xi^2 > -(n+7)$, have minimal genus 0.*

As a by-product of Theorem 1.7 we can obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1.9. *Let f and s be the general fiber and a section of elliptic fibration in $E(1)$. We put $a_k := k[f] - [s] \in H_2(E(1))$. For any $0 \leq k \leq 12$ or $k = 14$, the class a_k represents an embedded sphere in $E(1)$.*

This intersection number of a_k is $-2k - 1$. Theorem 1.9 can be also compared with following Finashin and Mikhalkin's theorem:

Theorem 1.10 (Finashin-Mikalkin[7])**.** *There exists a smooth embedding of S^2 into an $E(2)$ with the normal Euler number equal to n for any negative even $n \geq -86$.*

In particular, for the general fiber f and a section s in the K3-surface, the class $k[f] - [s] \in H_2(E(2))$ can be represented by an embedded S^2 for $k \leq 42$. We will post a question on the sphere class of a_k in $E(n)$ in the last section.

Acknowledgements. The results in this article are partially done when I visited in Michigan State University in 2013 spring. I am grateful for giving useful comments by S. Akbulut and the hospitality of the institute.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24840006.

2. Basic properties of invariants $\mathfrak{ds}$ and g_8.

We will prove the basic properties on $\mathfrak{ds}$ and g_8. Let $\Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the group of the homology 3-spheres up to h-cobordism.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{ds}'$ be one of $\mathfrak{ds}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}$ and g'_8 denote g_8, or $\overline{g_8}$. Then the following properties are satisfied:*

1. *The $\mathfrak{ds}'$ and g'_8 are h-cobordism invariants i.e., $\mathfrak{ds}' : \Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$.*
2. *$\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) = 0$ or $\overline{g_8}(Y) = 0$, if and only if $[Y] = 0$ in $\Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*
3. *If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y), g_8(Y) < \infty$, then $\mu(Y) \equiv \mathfrak{ds}'(Y) \equiv g'_8(Y) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$*
4. *If $\epsilon(Y_1)\epsilon(Y_2) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{ds}(Y_1) + \mathfrak{ds}(Y_2) \leq \mathfrak{ds}(Y_1 + Y_2)$.*
5. *If $\epsilon(Y_1)\epsilon(Y_2) = 1$, then $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y_1 + Y_2) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y_1) + \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y_2)$.*
6. *If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) = 1$, then $g_8(Y) = 1$.*
7. *$\mathfrak{ds}(-Y) = \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(-Y) = \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y)$.*
8. *$g_8(-Y) = g_8(Y)$ and $\overline{g_8}(-Y) = \overline{g_8}(Y)$.*
9. *If $0 < \mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$, then $\epsilon(Y)d(Y) < 0$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) \leq |d(Y)|/2$.*
10. *If $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y)$ or $g'_8(Y)$ is odd, then $|Y| = \infty$.*
11. *If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ is even, then we have $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) + 1 \leq |Y|$.*
12. *If $|Y| = 1, 2$, then $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) = \infty$.*
13. *If $\epsilon(Y) \neq \infty$, then $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) - 1 \leq m(-Y)/2 - 1$.*
14. *Suppose that Y is a Seifert homology 3-sphere. If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$, then $\bar{\mu}(Y)\epsilon(Y) > 0$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) \leq |\bar{\mu}(Y)|$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that Y, Y' be h-cobordant homology 3-spheres. If $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y) < \infty$, then there exists a definite spin bounding W of Y with maximal (or minimal) b_2. Connecting between Y and Y' by the cobordism, we get bounding W' of Y' with a maximal (or minimal) b_2. If $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y) = \infty$ and $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y')$ is finite, then we get a definite spin bounding of Y. This is contradiction. Thus, if $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y) = \infty$, then $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y') = \infty$

(2) Suppose Y is a homology 3-sphere with $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) = 0$. Then Y bounds a homology 4-ball W. Puncturing W, we get an h-cobordism between Y and S^3.

(3) Suppose that W is any definite spin bounding of Y. Then by the definition of μ we have $b_2(W)/8 \equiv \mu(Y) \bmod 2$.

(4,5) From the properties of maximal and minimal, we have the inequalities by taking the boundary sum of the two definite bounding.

(6) From the property that the definite quadratic form is isomorphic to $\pm E_8$.

(7,8) The definition of $\mathfrak{ds}$ and g_8 does not depend on the orientation.

(9) From the inequality (2) the inequalities hold.

(10) If $\mathfrak{ds}'(Y)$ or $g_8'(Y)$ is odd, then $\mu(Y) = \mathfrak{ds}(Y) = 0(2)$, thus we have $|Y| = \infty$.

(11) If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ is even, then $|Y| < \infty$ holds. Then we get a closed spin 4-manifold by gluing the two boundings. The intersection form is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) \cdot (-E_8) \oplus |Y| \cdot H$. Furuta's inequality implies $|Y| \geq \mathfrak{ds}(Y) + 1$.

(12) If $|Y| = 1, 2$ and $\mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ is finite, then due to Furuta's inequality $2 \geq \mathfrak{ds}(\pm Y) + 1$ holds. Since $\mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ is even, then $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) = 0$ namely $[Y] = 0$ in $\Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}}$. This contradicts about $|Y| > 0$.

(13) The assertion by the definition of m and $\mathfrak{ds}$ is satisfied.

(14) By the result in Theorem 1.2, we get the bound of the $\mathfrak{ds}$-invariant.

3. The negative E_8-bondings

3.1. **Milnor-fiber construction.** The Milnor-fiber $M(p, q, r)$ is the 4-manifold defined as the compactification of

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 | x^p + y^q + z^r = \epsilon\},$$

where ϵ is some constant. The boundary is the Brieskorn rational homology 3-spheres $\Sigma(p, q, r)$. If each two elements in $\{p, q, r\}$ are relatively prime, then the Brieskorn 3-sphere is a homology 3-sphere. The Milnor-fibers are nice examples of spin bounding. As mentioned in [8], for integers p, q, r, p', q', r' with $p \leq p'$, $q \leq q'$ and $r \leq r'$, there exists the inclusion $M(p, q, r) \hookrightarrow M(p', q', r')$. This gives a cobordism between $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ and $\Sigma(p', q', r')$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Here, consider the following natural inclusion:

$$M(2, 3, 6n - 5) \hookrightarrow M(2, 3, 6n - 1).$$

The induced cobordism X_n between $\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 5)$ and $\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 1)$ has intersection form $-E_8$. In fact, it is well-known that $Q_{M(2,3,6n-5)} \cong (n - 1)(-E_8) \oplus 2(n - 1)H$ and $Q_{M(2,3,6n-1)} \cong n(-E_8) \oplus 2(n - 1)H$. Thus, Q_{X_n} is isomorphic to $-E_8$.

By removing one 3-handle from X_n, we get a cobordism W_n from a punctured $\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 5)$ to punctured $\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 1)$. The manifolds Y_n is $\partial W_n = M_n$ and $Q_{W_n} \cong -E_8$.

On the other hands, since $d(M_n) = d(\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 1) - d(\Sigma(2, 3, 6n - 5) = 2 - 0 = 2$, we get $\mathfrak{ds}(M_n) = g_8(M_n) = \epsilon(M_n) = 1$. □

3.2. **The minimal resolution.** Let $W(G)$ be a plumbed 4-manifold associated with a graph G, which is a tree weighted by integer.

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a connected star-shaped graph as in Figure 2. The 'star-shaped' means the graph has at most one n-valent vertex with $n \geq 3$. Let $\{v_0, v_i^j\}$ be the vertices and m_0 and m_i^j be the weights of the vertices v_0 and v_i^j. That is, the unique vertex v_0 is at least 3-valent and the valencies of the other vertices are all 1 or 2. If G satisfies the following properties, we call the graph G is minimal:*

(1) *The incidence matrix is negative-definite.*
(2) $m_0 \leq -1$.
(3) $m_i^j \leq -2$.



FIGURE 2. Seifert diagram with three branches.

The minimal graph gives a negative-definite plumbing 4-manifold with a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere boundary. Furthermore, if all the weights are even, then the plumbing 4-manifold is a spin negative-definite bounding. We prove the following:

Proposition 3.1. *If $\Sigma(p,q,r)$ is a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere whose minimal resolution with negative-definite gives an E_8-bounding with $b_2 = 8$. Then $\Sigma(p,q,r) = \Sigma(2,3,5)$ or $\Sigma(3,4,7)$.*

Proof. The minimal resolution graph of the Seifert structure we require is rank= 8, unimodular, negative-definite and even. Since the graph is even, the weight of the central vertex is -2. The three possible lengths $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$ of branches are $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1,2,4), (2,2,3)$, in fact other ones $(1,1,5), (1,3,3)$ cannot be unimodular.

Let us consider the case of $(2,2,3)$ as in Figure 3, where b, c, d, e, f, g, h are positive integers. Let $D = D(b,c,d,e,f,g,h)$ denote the determinant of the resolution graph. Since the graph gives a homology 3-sphere, $D = 1$ holds. The coefficient of f in D is

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(16bcde - 4cde - 4bce - 4de - 4bc + 1 + c + e)(4gh - 1) \\ \geq\ & 12(4(bc-1)(de-1) + 4ce(b(d-1) + d(b-1)) + c + e) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D \geq D(b,c,d,e,1,g,h)$ holds. By considering the coefficients of g and h in $D(b,c,d,e,1,g,h)$, we have $D \geq D(b,c,d,e,1,g,h) \geq D(b,c,d,e,1,1,1)$.

If $bc \geq 2$ and $de \geq 2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(b,c,d,e,1,1,1) &= 5 + (4bc-5)(4de-5) + 32bcd(d-1) + 32cde(b-1) + 8e + 8c \\ &> 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this case is not unimodular. From the symmetry of the graph we may assume $d = e = 1$.

Further, if $b \geq 2$, then $D \geq D(b,c,1,1,1,1,1) = 28bc - 24c - 7 \geq 32c - 7 > 1$. Thus we have $b = 1$ and due to $D \geq D(1,c,1,1,1,1,1) = 4c - 7$ we have $c = 1, 2$. If we suppose $c = 2$, then $1 = D \geq D(1,c,1,1,1,1,1) = 1$, hence $f = g = h = 1$ holds. This case corresponds to the Brieskorn 3-sphere $\Sigma(3,4,7)$. If we suppose $c = 1$, then $1 = D(1,1,1,1,f,g,h) = 4(12fgh - 9gh - 3h - 3f) + 9 \geq 3(f-1)(h-1) + 9gh(f-1) + 6 > 1$ holds. Hence this case does not occur.

In the case of $(1,2,4)$, we use the result in [11]. They classified the Brieskorn homology 3-spheres with type $\Sigma(2,q,r)$ and even minimal resolution. Their theory shows that the homology 3-spheres with rank 8 among the Brieskorn homology 3-spheres are $\Sigma(2,3,5)$ only .



FIGURE 3. The resolution graph with type $(2,2,3)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Sigma(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$ be a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere with $n \geq 4$. Suppose that the minimal resolution graph is even and rank 8. Then $n = 4$ and the Brieskorn 3-spheres are $\Sigma(2,3,7,11)$, $\Sigma(2,3,7,23)$, or $\Sigma(3,4,7,43)$.*

Proof. The partitions of 7, the number of whose parts is more than 4 has the following 7 types. $(4,1,1,1)$, $(3,2,1,1)$, $(2,2,2,1)$, $(3,1,1,1,1)$, $(2,2,1,1,1)$, $(2,1,1,1,1,1)$, and $(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$.

The determinants $D = D(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h)$ of those matrices with even intersection form are even unless $(2,2,2,1)$. We may assume the type $(2,2,2,1)$. The parameter a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h are all positive numbers. We put $\tilde{D} = (D-1)/4$. The unimodular condition is equivalent to $\tilde{D} = 0$. First, the central weight $-2a$ is -2 or -4 from the even, minimal condition. We may assume that $b \leq d \leq f$ from symmetry of the graph.

[The case of $a = 2$.] Suppose that $a = 2$. We can take coefficients of D as follows:

$$\tilde{D} = 16Nh + 16(2h-1)bcdefg + P_1$$

$$N = (2bc - c - 2)defg + (2de - e - 2)bcfg + (2fg - g - 2)bcde,$$

where P_1 is a positive integer for any parameter.



FIGURE 4. Resolution graph with type $(2,2,2,1)$.

If $(b,c) \neq (1,1)$, then $2bc-c-2 \geq 0$. Hence we have $(b,c)=(1,1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{D}(2,1,1,d,e,f,g,h) \\ = \ & 4((8d-3)e-5)fgh + 4((8f-3)g-5)deh + 12(h-1)defg + P_2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where P_2 is a positive integer.

[The case of $a=1$.] Suppose that $a=1$.

$$\tilde{D} = 16Nh + 16(h-1)bcdefg + P_3$$

$$N = (bc-c-1)defg + (de-e-1)bcfg + (fg-g-1)bcde,$$

where P_3 is a positive integer. We find the case where N is a negative integer.

If $b \geq 2$, we have $bc-c-1, de-e-1, fg-g-1 \geq 0$. Thus $b=1$ holds.

$$N = c(de-e-1)fg + ((c-1)fg-(g+1)c)de$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $b=1$. If $\tilde{D}=0$, then $d=1$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose that $d \geq 2$ and $c \geq 2$. We consider the function $\tilde{D}$ with $b=1$. The partial differential

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_d &= 48cefgh - 16efgh - 16cegh - 16cdfg - 12ceh + P_3 \\ &= 16egh((c-1)(f-1)-1) + 32cefgh - 16cdfg - 12ceh + P_3 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we put $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}|_{d=2} := \tilde{D}_1$. The partial differential

$$(\tilde{D}_1)_f = 80cegh - 28egh - 32ceg - 12cgh + P_4 > 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{D} \geq (\tilde{D}_1)|_{f=2} =: \tilde{D}_2$. The partial differential

$$(\tilde{D}_2)_u = 128egh - 64eg - 20gh - 20eh + P_5 > 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}_2|_{c=2} = 208egh - 112eg - 33eh - 33gh + P_6 > 0$. Here P_i is a positive function. Therefore, in the case of $d \geq 2$ and $c \geq 2$, we cannot find any solution.

Suppose that $d \geq 2$ and $c=1$. We consider the function $\tilde{D}$ with $b=c=1$. Then, by iterating the differential, we get $\tilde{D} \geq 80egh - 48eg - 13eh - 13gh +$

P_7, where P_7 is a positive function. Hence $\tilde{D} > 0$. Therefore when $b = 1$, $d = 1$ holds. □

Here we assume $c \leq e$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $b = d = 1$. If $\tilde{D} = 0$, then $f \leq 2$ must hold.*

Proof. We prove that when $f \geq 3$ holds, $\tilde{D}$ cannot be 0. We deal with $\tilde{D}$ as a function with variables c, e, f, g, h. The polynomial P_i appeared in the context below is a positive function.

(A). Suppose that $e, c \geq 2$. Then we have $ec - c - e \geq 0$. We consider the function $\tilde{D}$ with $b = d = 1$. The differential $\tilde{D}_f$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_f &= 32cegh - 12egh - 12cgh - 16ceg + P_8 \\ &= 12gh(ce - e - c) + 20cegh - 16ceg - 8gh + P_8. \end{aligned}$$

In this case $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}|_{f=3} := \tilde{D}_1$ Since the differential $(\tilde{D}_1)_h$ is

$$(\tilde{D}_1)_h = 80ceg - 32g - 32cg + 11g - 8ce + 3e + 3c - 1 > 0,$$

we have $(\tilde{D}_1) \geq \tilde{D}_1 \geq \tilde{D}_1|_{h=1} := \tilde{D}_2$ Since the differential $(\tilde{D}_2)_c = 32eg - 20g - 4e + 2 > 0$ $\tilde{D}_3 := \tilde{D}_2|_{c=1} = 12eg - 12g - 2e + 1 = (e-1)(12g-2) - 3 > 0$ Thus we have $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}_1 \geq \tilde{D}_2 \geq \tilde{D}_3 > 0$.

(B). Suppose that $1 = c < e$. We consider $\tilde{D}$ as the function restricted on $b = c = d = 1$. Then we have $\tilde{D}_f = 20egh - 12eg - 8gh + 3g > 0$. Thus $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}|_{f=3} := \tilde{D}_1$ holds. Since we have $(\tilde{D}_1)_h = 48eg - 21g - 5e + 2 > 0$, $\tilde{D} \geq (\tilde{D}_1)|_{h=1} =: \tilde{D}_2$ Then $\tilde{D}_2 = 12eg - 12g - 2e + 1 = (12g-2)(e-1) - 3 > 0$. Thus $\tilde{D} > 0$.

(C). Suppose that $e = c = 1$. Then $\tilde{D} = 12fgh - 9gh - 3h - 9fg + 2$. This cannot be 0 for any integers f, g, h. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $b = d = 1$ and $f = 2$. If $\tilde{D} = 0$, then $h = 1$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose that $f = 2$ and $h \geq 2$. Since $\tilde{D}_h = 48ceg - 20eg - 20cg - 8ce + P_{11} > 0$, we have

$$\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}|_{h=2} = 64ceg - 32eg - 32cg - 12ce + 12g + 5c + 5e - 2 =: \tilde{D}_1.$$

Since $(\tilde{D}_1)_c = 64eg - 32g - 12e + 5 > 0$, $\tilde{D}_1 \geq \tilde{D}_1|_{c=1} = 32eg - 20g - 7e + 3 > 0$. Therefore $\tilde{D} > 0$ holds. □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $b = d = h = 1$, $f = 2$ and $c \leq e$. If $\tilde{D} = 0$, then $(c, e, g) = (1, 3, 1)$ or $(1, 2, 3)$.*

Proof. If $b = d = h = 1$, $f = 2$, then

$$\tilde{D} = (12(c-1)(e-1) - 7)g + 4ce(g-1) + 2c + 2e - 1$$

If $e \geq c \geq 2$, then $\tilde{D} > 0$. If $c = 1$, then $\tilde{D} = 4eg - 7g - 2e + 1$. The solution of $4eg - 7g - 2e + 1 = 0$ is $(e, g) = (2, 3), (3, 1)$. □

These cases correspond to $\Sigma(2, 3, 7, 11)$ and $\Sigma(2, 3, 7, 23)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $b = d = f = 1$. If $\tilde{D} = 0$, then $h = 2$. Furthermore if we assume $c \leq e \leq g$, then we have $(c, e, g) = (1, 2, 22)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $b = d = f = 1$ and $h \geq 3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_h &= (16ce - 8(c+e) + 3)g - 8ce + 3c + 3e - 1 \\ &\geq 8ce - 5(c+e) + 2 = 3(ce-1) + 5(c-1)(e-1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}|_{h=3} := \tilde{D}_1$ and

$$\tilde{D}_1 = 32ceg - 20cg - 20eg - 20ce + 8c + 8e + 8g - 3$$

(A). If $c \geq 2$, then $g \geq e \geq 2$ and

$$(\tilde{D}_1)_c = 32eg - 20g - 20e + 8 = 20(e-1)(g-1) + 12(eg-1) \geq 0$$

$$\tilde{D}_1 \geq (\tilde{D}_1)_{c=2} = 16(e-2)(g-2) + 28(eg-2) + 5 > 0$$

(B). If $c = 1$, and $g \geq e \geq 2$, then $\tilde{D}_1 = 12(e-1)(g-1) - 7 > 0$, then $\tilde{D} \geq \tilde{D}_1 > 0$.

(C). If $c = 1$ and $e = 1$, then $\tilde{D} = 3gh - 3h - 9g + 2 \neq 0$.

Suppose that $b = d = f = 1$ and $h = 1$. Then $\tilde{D} = -4eg - 4cg - 4ce + 2g + 2e + 2c - 1 \neq 0(2)$ holds. Therefore If $b = d = f = 1$, then we have $h = 2$.

Suppose that $b = d = f = 1$, $h = 2$ and $c \geq 2$. Then

$$\tilde{D}_c = 16eg - 12e - 12g + 5 \geq (4e-3)(4g-3) - 4 \geq 21 > 0,$$

$\tilde{D} \geq 20eg - 19g - 19e + 8 = e(20g - 19) - 19g + 8 \geq 21g - 30 > 0$ holds. Hence, $c = 1$ holds. The integer solution of $\tilde{D} = 4eg - 7g - 7e + 3 = 0$ is $(e, g) = (2, 22)$. □

This case corresponds to $\Sigma(3, 4, 7, 43)$. From Lemma 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, we get the proof of Proposition 3.2 □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The rest part in the assertion is the computation of $\mathfrak{ds}$. From the inequality (2) by Ozsvath and Szabo, the required assertion follows. In fact, the d-invariants of those Brieskorn homology 3-spheres below are all 2 by Némethi's algorithm in [12],

$$\Sigma(2,3,5),\ \Sigma(3,4,7),\ \Sigma(2,3,7,11),\ \Sigma(2,3,7,23),\ \Sigma(3,4,7,43).$$

Hence, these manifolds are all $g_8 = 1$. □

In the following, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The minimal resolution graphs of $\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n-3)$, $\Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n-1)$, $\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n^2-4n+1)$, and $\Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n^2-1)$ are FIGURE 5 and 6. The numbers of the parentheses are the lengths of the branches. □

The intersection forms of these minimal resolution grpahs are not isomorphic to $n(-E_8)$ for $n > 1$. We do not know whether the homology 3-spheres have other boundings with $g_8 = n$ and $\epsilon = -1$.



$\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n-3)$ $\Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n-1)$

FIGURE 5.



$\Sigma(4n-2, 4n-1, 8n^2-4n+1)$ $\Sigma(4n-1, 4n, 8n^2-1)$

FIGURE 6.

3.3. **Blow-downs of the minimal resolution.** In general, any minimal resolution is a negative-definite bounding with possibly not even. But there are some -1-spheres in the bounding 4-manifold. By performing blow-downs of the spheres we can get a smaller bounding. The new bounding is not a resolution any more. In this section, we give several E_8-boundings with $g_8 = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$ by using the blow-down of the minimal resolutions of Brieskorn homology 3-spheres. These strategies can be also seen in [14].

The blow-down process of a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere is described as follows. As an example, let us consider a plumbing graph with 3 singular fibers as in the first diagram in FIGURE 7. By doing the blow-down at the central component, we get the next diagram. The (unlabeled) edge presents the $+1$-linking between corresponding components. In the next diagram, doing the further blow-down at the -1-framed component, we get the third diagram. The integers nearby the edge are the linking number between the two components. In the same way we get the fourth diagram. Here the $(x+6)$-framed component is the $(2,3)$-torus knot. Here we deal with the diagram as in the left of FIGURE 9. This diagram stands for the handle diagram in the right of the FIGURE 9. In this paper such a graph is called *a configuration* and any graph obtained by several blow-downs of a Seifert plumbing graph is called *a blow-downed configuration*. The integer associated with any vertex is called a *weight* and with any edge

is called a *label*. The incidence matrix for the configuration naturally gives the quadratic form for the bounding 4-manifold.

Each step of the blow-down performances is based on the formula in FIGURE 8. Here, if the x-framed component in the figure is the (a, b)-torus knot, then the next $(x + b^2)$-framed component is the $(a + b, b)$-torus knot.



FIGURE 7. Blow-down process.



FIGURE 8. A blow-down formula on configurations.

Let G_0 be a 1-cycled graph with three edges with labels $\{a, b, 1\}$. The vertex intersecting two edges with a, b is $-2c$. The graph G is the union of G_0 and linear edges connecting the three vertices. See the right of FIGURE 9. We call the graph G a *branched triangular configuration*.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a branched triangular configuration. The pair $(G; a, b, c)$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ in Table 1 is the blow-downed configurations with type (1) to (7) in* FIGURE *1, whose intersection form is presented by $-E_8$.*

Note that configurations (1) to (7) is not all the blow-downed, branched triangular configurations with $-E_8$ intersection form.

Proof. We consider configurations in FIGURE 1. The other branched triangular configuration with rank 8 cannot be a unimodular form. Computing the determinants of each configuration in FIGURE 1, we obtain the equations:

$$(1) : 3a^2 + 4ab + 3b^2 = 5c - 2;\ (2) : 3a^2 + 3ab + 2b^2 = 5c - 2$$

$$(3) : 6a^2 + 9ab + 6b^2 = 7c - 2;\ (4) : 6a^2 + 8ab + 5b^2 = 7c - 2$$

$$(5) : 5a^2 + 5ab + 3b^2 = 7c - 2;\ (6) : 15a^2 + 20ab + 12b^2 = 16c - 1$$



FIGURE 9. The actual handle diagram with branched triangular configuration.



FIGURE 10. Blow-up process by the Euclidean algorithm.

$$(7) : 12a^2 + 12ab + 7b^2 = 16c - 1$$

The positive integral solutions $\{a, b, c\}$ in these equations give the negative-definite E_8-boundings with configurations from (1) to (7). If a and b are relatively prime, then these pairs $(G; a, b, c)$ are blow-downed configurations by iterating several blow-ups in accordance with the Euclidean algorithm for relatively prime (a, b) as FIGURE 10.

Suppose that $\{a, b\}$ is a relatively prime solution with $a < b$. Let m denote the minimum positive number satisfying $b - ma < a$. We iterate the blow-up process (the inverse of Figure 8) m-times at the left bottom angle in the triangle as in the first configuration in FIGURE 10. Next, exchanging the role of a and $b - ma$, we continue to perform the blow-up at the right bottom angle. Applying the Euclidean algorighm to this blow-up process in this way, we obtain the star-shaped graph which all labels are $+1$ and all weights are smaller than or equal to -2.

In consequence, the pair (a, b, c) in the Table 1 with relatively prime a, b can give a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere with E_8-bounding with $\epsilon = -1$. □

a	b	c	a	b	c
1	$5i-3$	$15i^2-14i+4$	1	$5i$	$15i^2+4i+1$
2	$10i-7$	$60i^2-68i+21$	2	$10i+1$	$60i^2+28i+5$
3	$15i-11$	$135i^2-162i+52$	3	$15i-8$	$135i^2-108i+25$
3	$15i-1$	$135i^2+18i+4$	3	$15i+2$	$135i^2+72i+13$
4	$10i-5$	$60i^2-28i+9$	4	$10i-7$	$60i^2-52i+17$
5	$5i-4$	$15i^2-4i+9$	5	$5i-1$	$15i^2-14i+12$
6	$30i-23$	$540i^2-684i+229$	6	$30i-13$	$540i^2-324i+61$
6	$30i-5$	$540i^2-36i+13$	6	$30i+5$	$540i^2+324i+61$

TABLE 3. The pairs (a,b,c) $(i \geq 0)$ are blow-downed configurations with (1) with $-E_8$ intersection form and with $a \leq 6$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be the configuration (1) in Figure 1. The integral solutions a, b, c in Table 1 with $a \leq 6$ are Table 3:*

Proof. Let us take $a = 1$ in the case of (1). Then for some integer m we have $k = 2m+1$, $\ell = 3m \pm 1 + 1$ and $b = 5m + 1 \pm 1$. Thus we get

$$c = 15m^2 + 16m + 5, \text{ or } 15m^2 + 4m + 1$$

from Table 1. In this way we get the expressions of b, c as in Table 3.

Let Y be one of the Brieskorn homology 3-spheres as above. According to the formula of $\overline{\mu}$ in [5], we have $\overline{\mu}(Y) = -1$. From Ue's inequality (Theorem 1.2), we get $\mathfrak{ds} = 1$. In particular they have $g_8 = 1$.

Thus, by using Theorem 1.2 we have $g_8 = \overline{g_8} = \mathfrak{ds} = \overline{\mathfrak{ds}} = 1$. These data give Brieskorn homology 3-spheres as in Table 2. □

3.4. **The negative E_8 boundings of $\Sigma(2,3,6n-1)$.** We restrict ourselves to $\Sigma(2,3,6n\pm 1)$. Let denote $Y_n^- = \Sigma(2,3,6n-1)$ and $Y_n^+ = \Sigma(2,3,6n-5)$. The invariants μ, $\overline{\mu}$ and d for $Y_n^\pm$ are as in Table 4. We focus on bounding 4-manifolds of Y_{2k+1}^-. The minimal resolution R_n for Y_n^- is Figure 11. The

	μ	$\overline{\mu}$	d	definite bounding
Y_{2k}^+	1	1	0	$\mathfrak{ds} = \infty$
Y_{2k}^-	0	0	2	$\mathfrak{ds} = \infty$
Y_{2k+1}^+	0	0	0	must be $b_2(X) = 0$
Y_{2k+1}^-	1	-1	2	must be $b_2(X) = 8$

TABLE 4. Invariants of $Y_n^\pm$.



FIGURE 11. The minimal resolution graph of Y_n^-.

intersection form of R_n is isomorphic to $-E_8 \oplus^{n-1} \langle -1 \rangle$. Any square -1 class in R_n cannot be realized as a sphere, in other words the following holds:

Proposition 3.5. *The 4-manifold R_n can be never blow-downed any more. Namely, the minimal genus of any square -1 class in R_n is positive.*

Proof. Since by replacing any component in FIGURE 11 with a Legendrian knot as in FIGURE 12, we can get a Stein surface on R_n. On the other hand, any Stein structure does not contain any (-1)-sphere. This means that R_n can be never blow-downed any more. □



FIGURE 12. A deformation into Stein structure.

Y^-_{2k+1} has another spin bounding S_k as in Figure 13 with the intersection form isomorphic to $-E_8 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The direct sum $-E_8 \oplus H$ implies the existence of a homology 3-sphere Y separating $-E_8$ and H. The H-summand corresponds to a 4-manifold X with $Q_X \cong H$ and $\partial X = Y$. Can such a homology 3-sphere Y be taken as one satisfying $[Y] = 0$ in the homology cobordism group $\Theta^3_{\mathbb{Z}}$? We post the following question, which is equivalent to $\mathfrak{ds}(Y^-_{2k+1}) = 1$ for any k.



FIGURE 13. The plumbing graph for S_k.

Question 3.1. *Let k be a positive number. Can any homology 3-sphere Y in S_k separating the intersection form $Q_{S_k} = -E_8 \oplus H$ (i.e., $\partial X = Y$ and $Q_X \cong H$) bound an acyclic 4-manifold?*

3.5. **The embedding of Y^-_{2k+1} in $E(1)$.** Question 3.1 is unknown, although, we can give several negative E_8 boundings for Y^-_{2k+1}.

In the case of $n = 0$, it is well-known that $Y^-_1 = \Sigma(2,3,5)$ is the boundary of the E_8-plumbing. In the case of $n = 1$, since $Y^+_3 = \Sigma(2,3,13)$ bounds a contractible 4-manifold, we give an h-cobordant

$$Y^-_3 \approx Y^-_3 \#(-Y^+_3) = M_3.$$

By use of Theorem 1.3, we can give a negative E_8-bounding of Y^-_3 with $g_8 = 1$.

Proposition 3.6. *For some integer k with $0 \leq k \leq 12, 14$, $E(1)$ can be decomposed along Y^-_{2k+1} so that $E(1) = W_k \cup_{Y^-_{2k+1}} N_{2k+1}$. Here W_k is a simply-connected, E_8-bounding of Y^-_{2k+1} with $g_8 = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$.*

Proof. We start a well-known decomposition $E(1) = M(2,3,5) \cup N_1$, where N_1 is the nuclei, which is defined in [8]. FIGURE 14 (Figure 16 in [1]) is the handle diagram for the decomposition. In the following, we deform



FIGURE 14. Figure16 in [1] and the embedding of N_1.

the decomposition into other ones via the following 2-handle slide of α in FIGURE 15. The handle slide by a straight band keeps the framing (the left picture in FIGURE 15). On the other hands, the handle slide by a twisting band (the right picture in FIGURE 15) decreases the framing by 4. Therefore, the framings of α become -1 and -5 respectively. We iterate this



FIGURE 15. The straight handle slide and twisting handle slide.

process to the linear 7-component link connecting the -2-framed 2-handle

except the -2-framed 2-handle adjacent to another -1-framed 2-handle. We can realize 2-handle α with the framings of $-1, -5, -9, -13, -17, -21, -25$, and -29. These attaching spheres are all unknots. The 2-handles with framing $-3, -7, -11, -15, -19$, and -23 are obtained by sliding linear sub-k-chain ($0 \leq k \leq 5$) and the unknot in the 7-component link. For example, FIGURE 16 realizes a -7-framed unknot by sliding -5-framed 2-handle to an unconnecting -2-framed 2-handle.

This process gives other decomposition $E(1) = W_k \cup_{Y^-_{2k+1}} N_{2k+1}$, where k is $0 \leq k \leq 12$ or 14. In fact W_k is a 4-manifold with intersection form $-E_8$ and the boundary is Y^-_{2k+1}. The process above preserves the intersection form of the complement. As a result, W_k is a simply-connected 4-manifold with intersection form $-E_8$ whose boundary is Y^-_{2k+1} ($0 \leq k \leq 12$ or 14). The complement is the nuclei N_{2k+1}. See [8] for the definition of the nuclei. □



FIGURE 16. A realization of -7-framed 2-handle.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The 4-manifold W_k is a negative E_8-bounding of Y^-_{2k+1} for $0 \leq k \leq 12$ or 14. Thus, for the integer k, we have $g_8(Y^-_{2k+1}) = 1$ and $\epsilon(Y^-_{2k+1}) = -1$. □

There exists an h-cobordism $Y^+_{2k+1} \approx (-M_{2k+1}) \# Y^-_{2k+1}$. The homology 3-sphere $Y^+_{2k+1} \#(-Y^-_{2k+1}) = -M_{2k+1}$ has a 'positive' E_8-bounding with $g_8 = 1$ by Theorem 1.3. Even if Y^-_{2k+1} has a 'negative' E_8-bounding with $g_8 = 1$, we do not know whether Y^+_{2k+1} bounds a contractible 4-manifold or not. In general, what condition for homology spheres Y_1, Y_2 with $\epsilon(Y_1) + \epsilon(Y_2) = 0$ and $g_8(Y_i) = 1$ can cancel out the intersection form $E_8 \oplus (-E_8)$ into $\emptyset$? We pose a more general question in the final section.

4. THE SEVERAL SPHERE CLASSES IN $E(1)$.

Theorem 4.1. *The classes $k[f] - [s]$ ($1 \leq k \leq 13$ or $k = 15$) in $H_*(E(1))$ are represented by embedded spheres, where f is the general fiber and s is the section in the elliptic fibration.*

Proof. The decomposition $W_k \cup_{Y_{2k+1}} N_{2k+1}$ in Theorem 3.6 gives $Q_{E(1)} = Q_{W_k} \oplus Q_{N_{2k+1}} \cong -E_8 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -2k-1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let α denote the same class as the one in Theorem 3.6. This class is the first class in the N_{2k+1}-part.

A diffeomorphism $E(1) \cong \mathbb{C}P^2 \# ^9\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ induces an isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}^{10}, Q) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^{10}, \langle 1 \rangle \oplus 9\langle -1 \rangle)$ and α is mapped to

$$-3k \cdot [h] + k \sum_{i=1}^{9} [e_i] + [e_9] = -k[f] + [s],$$

where $\{[h], [e_i] | 1 \leq i \leq 9\}$ is the generator in $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2 \# ^9\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$. The classes $[f]$ and $[s]$ correspond to the fiber and the section of $E(1)$ respectively. In the case of $0 \leq k \leq 12, 14$, α, that is, $-k[f] + [s]$ can be represented as a sphere. □

5. Some questions and problems.

Here we post several questions and problems.

Question 5.1. *Let Y be a homology 3-sphere.*

1. *When does Y have a definite spin bounding?*
2. *If $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) < \infty$, then does Y have an E_8-bounding?*
3. *When the equality $m(-Y)/2 = \mathfrak{ds}(Y)$ or $\overline{m}(-Y)/2 = \overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y)$ hold?*

Question 5.2. *Are there exist any homology 3-spheres $g_8(Y) < \overline{g_8}(Y)$, $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) \neq g_8(Y)$ or $\overline{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) \neq \overline{g}_8(Y)$?*

Question 5.3. *Let Y be a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere. If $4d(Y) = -8\overline{\mu}(Y) > 0$, then is $\mathfrak{ds}(Y) = 4d(Y)$ true?*

Question 5.4. *Let Y be a Brieskorn homology 3-sphere with finite E_8-genus. Then is $g_8(Y) = \overline{g_8}(Y)$ true?*

We post a inequalities for bounding genus which are presumed by $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture and Theorem 1.5.

Problem 5.1. *For positive integer n, we have*

$$|\Sigma(8n-2, 8n-1, 16n-3)|,\ |\Sigma(8n-1, 8n, 16n-1)| \geq 3n$$

$$|\Sigma(8n-2, 8n-1, 32n^2-8n+1)|,\ |\Sigma(8n-1, 8n, 32n^2-1| \geq 3n.$$

If one of this inequalities do not hold, then $\frac{11}{8}$-conjecture does not hold.

Question 5.5. *Do these homology 3-spheres above construct some E_8-boundings with $g_8 = 2n$?*

Question 5.6. *Let a_k denote the 2nd homology class $k[f] - [s]$ in $E(n)$, where f is the general fiber and s is a section. Does there exists an upper bound of k for a_k to be represented by an embedded S^2?*

Question 5.7. *For two homology 3-spheres with $\mathfrak{ds}(X_i) < \infty$ $(i = 1, 2)$, Let denote $\tilde{\mathfrak{ds}}(Y) = \epsilon(Y)\mathfrak{ds}(Y)$. Then when does the equality*

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{ds}}(X_1) + \tilde{\mathfrak{ds}}(X_2) = \tilde{\mathfrak{ds}}(X_1 \# X_2)$$

hold?

Although, in the case of $X_1 = \Sigma(2, 3, 17)$ and $X_2 = \Sigma(2, 3, 13)\#(-\Sigma(2, 3, 17))$, the equality holds, this equality seems unlikely, in general. In order to satisfy this equality, some geometrically special condition would be occurred.

Finally, we post future's direction for this paper's topic.

Problem 5.2. *Find more general constructions of positive (or negative) E_8-boundings for many homology 3-spheres.*

References

[1] S. Akbulut, *The Dolgachev surface*, Comm. Math. Helvetici, vol 87, issue 1 (2012) pp. 187-241

[2] C. Bohr and R. Lee, *Homology cobordism and classcical knot invariant*, Comment. Math. Helv., 77(2002), no.2, 363-382

[3] S. K. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology*, Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 2, 279-315.

[4] M. Furuta, 11/8-*conjecture and monopole equation*, Mathematical Reserach Letters, Vol. 8, No 3, 2001, 279-292

[5] Y. Fukumoto, M. Furuta, and M. Ue, *W-invariants and Neumann-Siebenmann invariants for Seifert homology 3-spheres*, Topology and its Applications 116(2001) 333-389.

[6] B-H Li, and T-J Li, *Smooth minimal genera for small negative classes in $\mathbb{C}P^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$ with $n \leq 9$*, Topology and its Applications, 132, (2003), 1-15.

[7] S. Finashin and G. Mikhalkin, *A (-86)-Sphere in the K3-surface*, Turkish journal of Math, 21, (1997), 129-131.

[8] R. Gompf and A. Stipsicz, *4-manifods and Kirby calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 20. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[9] M. Kneser, *Klassenzahlen definiter quadratischer Formen*, Arch. Math. 8 (1957), 241-250.

[10] C. Manolescu, *On the intersection forms of spin four-manifolds with boundary*, arXiv:1305.4667

[11] Y. Matsumoto, and A. Yamada, *On the resolution diagrams of the Brieskorn singularities $(2, q, r)$ of type II*, Canad. J. Math. 35 (1983), no. 6, 1049-1058.

[12] A. Némethi, *On the Ozsváth-Szabó invariant of negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds*, Geometry and Topology vol.9(2005) 991-1042

[13] W. D. Neumann, *An invariant of plumbed homology spheres*, Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, Siegen, 1979), pp. 125-144, Lecture Notes in Math., 788, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[14] W.D. Raymond, and F. Raymond, *Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ-invariant and orientation reversing maps*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos., Univ. California, Santa Barbara, Calif., 1977), pp. 163-196, Lecture Notes in Math., 664, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[15] P.Ozsváth and Z. Szabo, *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersecetion forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, Advances in Math. 173(2003)179-261

[16] M.Ue, *The Fukumoto-Furuta and the Ozsvath-Szabo invariants for spherical 3-manifolds*, Algebraic topology?old and new, 121?139, Banach Center Publ., 85, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2009

Motoo Tange
University of Tsukuba,
Ibaraki 305-8502, Japan.
tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
E-mail address: tange@math.tsukuba.ac.jp